

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,705	06/25/2003	Neil Wallace Eft		9999
	7590 08/20/2004		EXAM	INER
Neil W. Eft			WOLFE JR, WILLIS RAY	
1001 W. Milton St. Alliance, OH 44601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, -			3747	.,
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 A A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,705	EFT, NEIL WALLACE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Willis R. Wolfe, Jr.	3747
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
Period for Reply	EDLY 10 OFT TO EVOIDE A MA	ONTHO) FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mean patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtyeriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
,=	This action is non-final.	K
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		
closed in accordance with the practice und	ier <i>Ex parte Quayie</i> , 1935 С.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		-
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	nd/or algation requirement	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction at	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form F10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		W.
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But 	•	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.
-		·
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	·	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/602,705

Art Unit: 3747

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jarnuszkiewicz et al. Note Figures 1 and 2 and column 3, lines 8-62.

Claims 1-7 and 9-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gaertner et al. Note Figures 4-6 and column 5, line 46 through column 6, line 67.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,705

Art Unit: 3747

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaertner et al in view of Hasegawa et al. Gaertner et al discloses the claimed invention except for utilizing solenoid operated engine valves controlled by a computer. Hasegawa et al teaches that it is known to provide solenoid operated engine valves (16) controlled by a computer (17) as shown in Figure 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the valves of Gaertner by providing solenoid operated valves controlled by a computer as taught by Hasegawa et al in order to provide for better control of inflow and outflow of each engine cylinder.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references of Kawamura et al, Lavy, Urushihara et al and Widener et al are cited to show EGR systems utilizing a holding reservoir.

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willis R. Wolfe, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1950. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday (4:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry C. Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Willis R. Wolfe, Jr.
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3747

WRW August 18, 2004